



Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

Comment Sheet

RECEIVED

JAN 19 2000

Name: Edward McFaul Jr.Organization: N/AMailing Address: 722 Kennedy
Duncanville, Texas 75116Zip: 75116Telephone number (optional): (972) 709-1860

I request that these comments be made a part of the official record.

- 2 What scenarios will be used for future groundwater use in the area, and why were they selected?
- 3 Will radiation sources in the area, other than the repository, will be considered in a total dose calculation? Will the recently reported spent fuel buried somewhere in Area 25 of the Nevada Test Site be included? What about the cumulative impacts to groundwater from nuclear testing?

1 Why is the government wasting taxpayer's money on nuclear testing? It's obvious that the downside weighs more than the upside to nuclear testing. There is too much waste involved and there is a problem of where to dispose this waste. The only thing that is accomplished in this process is the loss of lives and the loss of money that could be going towards helping the homeless or AIDS research. The government should worry about the people in this country instead of accumulating power or land or money.

Please note: For your comment(s) to be considered in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, your comment(s) need to be received by the Department of Energy by February 9, 2000. To the extent practicable the Department will consider comments received after February 9.